UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/902,692	07/30/1997	WILLIAM J. REA	16715CIP	1465
TODD E ALBA	7590 07/25/200 ANESI	8	EXAM	IINER
CRUTSINGER & BOOTH 1601 ELM STREET SUITE 1950			SCHWADRON, RONALD B	
THANKSGIVING TOWER		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
DALLAS, TX 752014744			1644	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/25/2008	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	08/902,692	REA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ron Schwadron, Ph.D.	1644				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	<b>J.</b> lely filed the mailing date of this c ○ (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	- action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan						
closed in accordance with the practice under E.	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>49-64,67 and 70</u> is/are pending in the	application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>49-64,67,70</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	٠.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P7	ГО-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:		-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	• •	<u></u>				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	•	ed in this National	Stage			
application from the International Bureau						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)  Other:					

Application/Control Number: 08/902,692 Page 2

Art Unit: 1644

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 4/23/08 has been entered.

- 2. Claims 49-64,67,70 are under consideration.
- 3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112: The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 4. The rejection of claims 49-64,67 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement for the reasons elaborated in the previous Office Action is withdrawn in view of applicants arguments and the amended specification.
- 5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
  - (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 6. The previously pending rejection of Claim 49 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) based upon a public use or sale of the invention as evidenced by Griffiths is withdrawn in view of applicants arguments and the Griffiths declaration filed 4/23/08.
- 7. The previously pending issue raised in paragraph 7 of the previous Office Action has been addressed in the Griffiths declaration filed 4/23/08.

Application/Control Number: 08/902,692 Page 3

Art Unit: 1644

8. Claim 47 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Griffiths (1994).

Griffiths discloses use of the method of claim 49 to treat environmentally ill patients wherein "chemically sensitive individual" would be encompassed by the term "environmentally ill patients" (see entire reference). Lymphocytes were harvested form a blood sample of patient wherein lymphocytes would contain T and B lymphocytes (see page 7). The lymphocytes were cultured and stimulated to blast (aka propagated), lysed and the lysate was administered to the patient (see page 7). Griffiths discloses the step of claim 49(b) wherein "lymphocytes" contain T and B cells. The Griffith declaration of 4/23/08 indicates that the instant reference is a publication.

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

10. Claims 49-64,67,70 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Griffiths in view of Youdim et al., Warren (US Patent 4,435,384), Goust et al. (US Patent 4,001,080) and Lane et al.

Griffiths discloses use of the method of claim 49 to treat environmentally ill patients wherein "chemically sensitive individual" would be encompassed by the term

Art Unit: 1644

"environmentally ill patients" (see entire reference). Lymphocytes were harvested from blood samples of patients wherein lymphocytes would contain T and B lymphocytes (see page 7). The lymphocytes were cultured and stimulated to blast (aka propagated), lysed and the lysate was administered to the patient (see page 7). Griffiths discloses the step of claim 49(b) wherein "lymphocytes" contain T and B cells.

Griffiths does not teach the particular steps recited in the claims 50-64. Griffiths teaches that the autologous factor can be produced by culturing/propagating PBL in vitro followed by lysis of said cells to produce a lysate containing autologous factor. The PBL are contained in a blood sample. Warren teaches the use of heparinized tubes to collect the blood sample. The use of commercially available density gradients such as HYPAQUE-FICOLL (a well known commercially available version of the agent recited in claim 51/claim 60 part(b)) using the steps recited in the claims to isolate/separate lymphocytes is well known in the art (for example see Lane et al., page 66.2). The culture of lymphocytes at 37 degrees C (aka 98.6 Fahrenheit aka body temperature) is standard operating procedure (for example Warren teaches 37 degree incubation of lymphocytes (see column 2)). Goust et al. teach use of bovine calf serum in the culture process to produce lymphocyte factors from cultured lymphocytes (see Example 3, column 5 wherein fetal calf serum is encompassed by the term bovine calf serum). Goust et al. teach that new media is added as needed (see Example 3, column 5). While Goust et al. teach that the lysate is obtained via freezing and thawing cells, Goust et al. teach that the lymphocyte factor can be produced by disrupting the cells wherein sonication is an art known procedure for disrupting cells. Warren teaches that lymphocyte factor can be produced by a variety of different methods. Centrifugation and washing of cultured cells are routine tissue culture steps for cells grown in suspension. Griffith teaches parental administration of the factor wherein subcutaneous administration is an art known form of parental administration. Youdim et al. teaches multiple administration of lymphocyte factor (see page 56, column 2). Youdim et al. teaches that skin testing (e.g. DTH) can be used to measure the response to lymphocyte factor. A routineer would have evaluated the patient pre and post treatment to determine the efficacy of treatment and to determine if further treatment was required. It would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have created the claimed invention because Griffiths

Application/Control Number: 08/902,692

Page 5

Art Unit: 1644

discloses use of the method of claim 49 to treat environmentally ill patients wherein "chemically sensitive individual" would be encompassed by the term "environmentally ill patients" and the other steps recited in the claims other than 49 represent art known culture steps or modes of administration. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do the aforementioned because Griffiths teaches the method of claim 49 and the other claims represent art known procedures that would be used to execute the method of claim 49. Griffiths discloses use of the method of claim 49 to treat environmentally ill patients wherein "chemically sensitive individual" would be encompassed by the term "environmentally ill patients" (see entire reference). Lymphocytes were harvested form a blood sample of patient wherein lymphocytes would contain T and B lymphocytes (see page 7). The lymphocytes were cultured and stimulated to blast (aka propagated), lysed and the lysate was administered to the patient (see page 7). Griffiths discloses the step of claim 49(b) wherein "lymphocytes" contain T and B cells.

## 11. No claim is allowed.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ron Schwadron, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 571 272-0851. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7:30-6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eileen O'Hara can be reached on 571 272-0841. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO

Art Unit: 1644

Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Ron Schwadron, Ph.D./
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1644